VCU IRB Guidance for Using Social Media
to Recruit and Engage with Human Subjects for Research

Introduction and Scope
This document offers guidance to investigators and research staff who wish to use social media platforms to recruit research participants. This guidance will only address recruitment and engagement, and will not address data collection via social media platforms. Social media is commonly used for conversation and interaction, but this guidance is focused on a study’s systematic efforts to advertise/notify/publicize/persuade potential participants to enroll in a study (i.e. recruitment efforts) and if applicable, to retain participants.

For guidance on using social media as a means of conducting research-based interactions, and/or as a source of research data, see VCU IRB WPP XVII-9: Use of the Internet for Research Data Collection. Also refer to the University policies in the Other Issues and Responsibilities section at the end of this guidance.

For general guidance on IRB requirements for recruitment for research, and standards for creating recruitment materials, please see VCU IRB WPP XVII-2: Subject Recruitment and Compensation.

Use of social media in research is an evolving topic. Moreover, the landscape of social media is continually changing – new platforms pop up, old platforms die off, and platforms evolve in how they are used. The IRB cannot anticipate every risk or potential complication that may apply to all types of social media, and cannot anticipate, or review for, all institutional or legal issues that may impact your study that are outside of an IRB’s purview. However, the risks related to use of specific social media platforms must be evaluated for each individual research protocol based on the nature of the research and focal population of study.

This guidance is fluid and therefore subject to change as new information emerges.

Institutional and Departmental Policies
It is the responsibility of the research team to be aware of and comply with all relevant policies that are specific to their department, and to VCU as an institution. Investigators should follow VCU’s institutional standards, which can be found here: https://socialmedia.vcu.edu/for-social-admins/

Note that VCU Data Retention Policies apply to research-related materials, including social media content. Social media posts disseminated on behalf of a VCU entity are considered public records. See https://policy.vcu.edu/universitywide-policies/policies/records-management.html
ADA Accessibility

It is the responsibility of the research team to ensure that all social media advertisements are accessible to individuals with disabilities in compliance with VCU policies and the Americans with Disabilities Act.

For example, to allow for the use of text readers for the visually impaired, social media and email advertisements should be designed so that the text is mostly independent from the images. In other words, the art should have few or no words on top of it. Another example is to avoid using all caps, as some text readers tend to read these out one letter at a time, rather than reading complete sentences.

For additional guidance on ADA accessibility online, see: https://webstandards.vcu.edu/requirements/accessibility/

Considerations and Best Practices for Using Social Media for Recruitment

The IRB will consider all potential recruitment methods with a clear justification and rationale. As with any recruitment method, researchers need to carefully consider whether use of social media is an appropriate and effective means for reaching their target study population, and design recruitment methods that adhere to ethical principles.

To protect the scientific validity of your research, and uphold the Belmont Report’s principles of Justice, Respect for Persons, and Beneficence, when considering social media as a potential recruitment method, ask yourself the following questions:

Is social media an appropriate and equitable method to recruit my target population?

How appropriate is recruitment via social media in the context of your research study and how equitable will a sample recruited via social media be? You should consider whether or not your sample will be biased if your study depends solely (or heavily) on social media recruitment. For example, you may be inadvertently excluding populations with limited social media literacy or access to the internet (see https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/social-media/).

Which social media platform(s) should I use, and why?

When choosing a social media platform, you should consider how the platform relates to your target audience and users’ expectations of the platform. Different platforms have different common uses (e.g. blogging, social networks, media sharing, social bookmarking, etc.) and not all platforms may be appropriate for research recruitment.

Researchers should consider whether a particular platform will be an effective way to reach the desired population. For example, a research study that wishes to recruit people from certain professions may consider LinkedIn, while Facebook may be more appropriate to use for recruiting participants in the same specific interest groups. Or, the word limit on a Twitter post might not be enough to effectively convey what the research involves.
Which social media accounts should I use to post recruitment ads?

The IRB will consider different types of social media accounts used for recruitment by research teams on a case-by-case basis. There are a few considerations and best practices when choosing social media accounts.

As a researcher, you are representing VCU, so we generally discourage using personal accounts. Whenever possible, you should use pre-existing public accounts related to the target population or accounts you have created for research purposes (such as professional network accounts, study-specific accounts, research accounts for your department or division, VCU's Clinical Trials Facebook account, etc.). Researchers should check with VCU Social Media prior to creating any study accounts. Study team members may choose to share posts originating from other accounts, but researchers should be clear when they share these posts to direct any interested parties to the original post from the official account to avoid privacy and engagement concerns.

It will rarely be acceptable to systematically recruit friends/families unless there is a strong justification for it. Furthermore, if a researcher plans to use social media to target certain demographics or to learn more about a potential participant (e.g. screening), this will need prior IRB approval. Friends/etc. may comment on or share the post in ways that could be problematic for privacy, depending on the nature of the research. Subject selection must be equitable, and convenience samples are rarely a way to give fair access to the benefits and risks of research participation. Equitable subject selection is one of the criteria the IRB must evaluate for approval. Using your personal accounts and recruiting from friends/family may also result in a potential for coercion and undue influence, even if unintentional. Friends and family want to help you, and may feel some pressure to do so. Further, recruiting from friends/family may introduce subtle bias into your results, as friends/family may know, or intuit, the desired results.

Friends and family who also happen to be part of the appropriate population can find your research through your general recruitment methods without soliciting them directly through personal accounts. If you feel your study presents a unique situation for which there is a justification for using personal accounts, please describe this justification in your IRB submission, and your plans to mitigate the issues outlined above. The IRB will consider these on a case-by-case basis.

Relying on personal accounts to recruit for research subjects can also lead easily into snowball sampling and introduce bias. VCU IRB generally discourages snowball sampling in most cases, unless an acceptable rationale is provided. For a discussion of the problems related to snowball sampling as a recruitment method for research, please see:
https://www.boisestate.edu/research-compliance/irb/guidance/guidelines-for-investigators-using-snowball-sampling-recruitment-methods/
Do I need to consider extra screening of potential participants?

Consider if your social media recruitment efforts should prompt for more rigorous screening procedures. You may need to screen out individuals who might not be your target audience (for example recruiting via a dyslexia subreddit doesn’t guarantee you will get individuals with dyslexia), to screen out ineligible individuals who might be completing online surveys for compensation, and to screen out “bots” who automatically complete hundreds or thousands of surveys to receive compensation. For example, consider if screening should involve contact with the study team or provision of additional documentation to verify identity/eligibility.

Procedures and Guidance for Social Media Recruitment Plans and Materials

Once you’ve determined the appropriateness of using social media for recruitment, and decided on which platforms and accounts to use, you’ll need to submit your recruitment plan and advertisements to the IRB for review and approval.

Keep in mind that the IRB scope of review will be on a case-by-case basis. What might be acceptable on one platform, or for one study, might not be appropriate for another.

Describing your Recruitment Plan to the IRB

When describing your recruitment methods to the IRB, you should outline relevant information which often includes descriptions of:

- The platforms/spaces/groups you will utilize.
- Specific details relevant to the platform (for example, will you use paid/targeted ads, posting on specific group pages/threads [if so, which], etc.)
- The timing and frequency of recruitment activities.
- How the study team will represent themselves (as individuals or as a team). Will any accounts/logins/etc. need to be created or will existing accounts be used? How will the study team represent themselves through these accounts?
- The appropriateness of the platform for recruiting your potential subject population. Why was this platform chosen? Is it an accepted or common practice to use this specific platform/group for research recruitment?
- How you might engage with users on the platform and any plans to manage or moderate content/social media interaction on recruitment posts/materials. If needed, what controls will be used to prevent potential privacy violations (such as unanticipated sharing)?
- Any policies, rules, or norms for research on the platform that you may be expected to follow. Some social media spaces might have their own policies, rules, or norms regarding research conducted on the platform. Other platforms may allow users to post their own “policy” (e.g. governing such things as foul language, hate speech, advice against posting personal information, etc.) and this should be submitted to the IRB for review. It is up to the research team to ensure that they are complying with any policies, rules, or norms regarding use of these social media spaces.
Creating Recruitment Materials

As with all research advertising, the IRB will need to review and approve the final and complete versions of any materials/posts/scripts/images/etc. that are recruiting for or describing the specific research study in question, prior to these materials being distributed. This could include submitting all planned text, layout, and formatting for study-specific social media accounts (like a mockup or screen shots of proposed “about” pages, “home” page, or other pages.)

Social media recruitment materials are reviewed and approved by the IRB in the same manner as all research advertising, and should follow the same standards. For a description of these standards, please refer to VCU IRB WPP XVII-2: Subjects Recruitment and Compensation.

Considerations when Creating Advertisements for Social Media

- Consider the specific platform, and ensure your posts and images will fit the format, word count, and image sizing for typical posts on the site to ensure the advertisement can be posted as it was approved by the IRB.
  - For example, vertical (portrait layout) flyers are standard when printing and posting paper flyers in physical locations, but horizontal (landscape layout) images may be needed to accommodate digital marketing platforms like social media.
  - Be aware that there may be other, non-IRB related considerations for how you create/format your advertisements for social media (i.e. copyright issues for images, ADA compliance, department or institutional branding standards, etc.).
    - See the “Other Issues and Responsibilities” section below for additional guidance and resources.
- Avoid images that may be offensive or inappropriate for the platform and/or study.
- Whenever possible, use diverse and inclusive language and imagery in your social media recruitment materials as you would with any other study recruitment materials.
- Avoid images that highlight monetary compensation (such as dollar signs, money bags, etc.), as these may increase potential coercion, and may attract bots or scammers seeking payments.
- Text for posts should always clearly identify the activity as a research study.

Guidance for Submitting Social Media Advertisements to the IRB

- Submit all text for researcher-initiated posts and planned responses, and submit all images that may accompany the text.
- Another acceptable approach would be to create many different types of posts, as the IRB will typically accept “mix and match” content (images and texts that can be combined in various ways to create posts).
  - These may be submitted in batches. Please try not to submit more than 20 images and captions at once, to avoid review delays.
  - Please upload all social media content in 1 file, rather than individual files for each content item, as this can make your Documents list more difficult to manage.
Please note that this format is for creating recruitment content for a single study. The IRB approves materials on a study-by-study basis.

Ongoing Social Media Engagement and Other Participant Retention Activities

The IRB needs to review and approve all planned follow-ups, reminders, etc. that will be sent to participants at specific intervals to prompt additional data collection activities. However, there may be situations where a study engages on a continual basis with participants, such as when a study-specific website, Facebook page, or other social media account exists, for purposes outside of recruiting new participants or prompting follow-up research activities. Investigators should set clear expectations with their study teams about how to navigate online interactions (if any) with potential/current participants outside of the study context.

Considerations for non-recruitment “engagement” with followers

If a study team is planning on non-recruitment engagement, be sure to consider if the content you intend to post, even if it is not a traditional recruitment post, could be considered persuasive to a viewer. A participant may be more likely to want to participate, or view your research in a certain way, if your posts are too targeted or, when coupled together, cause a reader to draw a conclusion before taking part in the research. This can taint your results before a participant even finishes or joins.

While IRBs are bound to review and approve all aspects of research recruitment, there are situations where there is room for flexibility when reviewing and approving non-recruitment posts from study-specific social media accounts. There are several strategies your study team can employ to potentially avoid having to submit each individual non-recruitment post to the IRB for approval. These strategies will be considered by the IRB on a case-by-case basis, within the context of each study.

Strategies for managing non-recruitment social media engagement

- **Provide the IRB with examples.** If the study team knows the specific kinds of posts they will likely be sharing (such as recipes, quotes, or other similar, categorical posts), researchers can provide the IRB with sample posts of how they intend to engage.
  - When providing examples, it is important to clarify the boundaries of your established “rules” in what you will post, and to update the IRB if you make changes to your engagement strategy.

- **Submit posts in batches to the IRB.** As suggested in the recruitment post section, submitting a group of posts (or example/template posts) together in advance, rather than one by one, can help your study avoid unnecessary multiple submissions with engagement posts.

- **Provide the IRB with an overview of your general rules or standards for posts.** For example, if you plan to post health-related articles, set standards for the specific sources you will use, and explain why these are appropriate/reputable. Describe how you intend
participants to interact with these posts (i.e. will posting be one-directional or do you anticipate soliciting comments or other responses?).

- As a reminder, any posts that you will use to collect research data from subjects, or recruit subjects for this or other research studies, are not applicable to this strategy, and would be considered research measures or recruitment materials that require IRB review and approval prior to use.

Social Media Management Plans

- If your study plans to conduct more long-term engagement on a study-specific Facebook, Instagram, website, or other social media page, the best option for your study is likely to create a management plan for social media.
- A management plan is an organized strategy for where, when, and what you will post on your social media channels during the course of the study. This management plan can then be uploaded to the IRB submission.
- A management plan should detail all social media sites the team intends to use, the frequency with which you intend to use them and the kind of posts you intend to post, as well as any community guidelines you will give group members and activities that will be included in the group.
- A template management plan is provided at the end of this document.

Other Issues and Responsibilities to Consider Before Submitting to the IRB

Social Media Platforms’ Terms of Use

It is the responsibility of the research team, when designing a protocol, to understand the social media site terms of use and to be aware of any research or recruitment-related restrictions on the social media sites through which they intend to conduct their recruitment activities. This includes a site’s advertising, privacy and prohibited content policies.

Copyright Law

It is the responsibility of the research team to ensure that they have the rights to use any photograph or illustration in recruitment materials. Services are available that offer free stock imagery and art, such as Pixabay and Unsplash, or have purchase options, such as Getty and Shutterstock. There are also VCU and VCU Health photo libraries that are accessible with a VCU eID.

For additional guidance on copyright and fair use, see: https://www.library.vcu.edu/research-teaching/publishing/copyright/
References

Related University Policies

VCU’s institutional standards for social media admins: https://socialmedia.vcu.edu/for-social-admins/

ADA accessibility online: https://webstandards.vcu.edu/requirements/accessibility/

VCU’s Data Retention Policies: https://policy.vcu.edu/universitywide-policies/policies/records-management.html

Guidance on copyright and fair use: https://www.library.vcu.edu/research-teaching/publishing/copyright/

Other resources


VCU’s Clinical Trials Facebook account: https://www.facebook.com/pg/VCUClinicalTrials/

VCU and VCU Health Photo Library (requires a login to access): https://brand.vcu.edu/site/index

Related VCU IRB Written Policies and Procedures

VCU IRB WPP XVII-2; Subject Recruitment and Compensation: https://research.vcu.edu/media/office-of-research-and-innovation/humanresearch/VCUIRBWrittenPoliciesandProceduresv9-28-2020.pdf#page=255

Example Format of a Social Media Management Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Name</th>
<th>Facebook</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>Add columns for each social platform used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Name Used on the Platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who Owns the Page/Account? (Is it a study-specific or a department/lab account? Who will own/manage it?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audience Trying to Reach with this Platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Content /Content Strategy (describe everything that might be used)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posting Frequency (min and max)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audience identifiability (are user’s real names used?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How Audience Will Interact - will the study team ask people to interact or repost/forward? - how will the study team manage/curate the account and comments? - if a community is created, how is it curated/managed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy Settings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan for Account Closure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>